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Look Closer...
You’re Not Just Buying a Polygraph

The best instrument delivers the best results

Untouchable quality with unbeatable pricing!

Polygraph Professional Suite™ Silver Solution
- 1 DataPac_USB™ high resolution 8-channel instrument
- 1 StingRay SE™ piezo electronic film countermeasure cushion
- 2 pneumatic respiration transducers
- 1 complete set of EDA electrodes (gold plated metal and silver/silver wet-gel electrodes)
- 100 disposable silver/silver wet-gel EDA electrodes
- 1 deluxe pneumatic blood pressure cuff with large sphygmomanometer (one size fits all)
- 1 FingerCuff pneumatic blood pressure cuff
- 1 ruggedized Pelican instrument case with custom padded divider set
- 1 OSS 1 & 2 scoring algorithms, courtesy of Donald Krapohl and Barry McManus
- 1 OSS 3 scoring algorithm, courtesy of Raymond Nelson, Donald Krapohl and Mark Handler
- 1 comprehensive printed and bound user manual and integrated video tutorials
- 3 year all-inclusive maintenance agreement
- 3 year DataPac_USB replacement warranty through overnight courier service
- Lifetime technical support

Customer Service 24/7
Online technical support is available 24/7 through our secure knowledge base. Quarterly program updates are available to customers on our dedicated, secure online server. Software when you want it, at your convenience.

Replacement Warranty
Be assured that all instruments include a three year replacement warranty. Our guarantee that any defective equipment will be replaced within 48 hours maximizes your profits and productivity.

Limestone Technologies INC.
Credibility Assessment • Innovative Screening Solutions

CANADA: 613.634.2594
NORTH AMERICA: 866.765.9770 (toll free)
INTERNATIONAL: 011.613.634.2594
E-mail: sales@limestonetech.com

www.limestonetech.com

FingerCuff™
Limestone Technologies’ all inclusive Polygraph Professional Suite includes our revolutionary FingerCuff. Finally an alternative to the Blood Pressure Cuff. Incorporate cutting edge technology with our new FingerCuff.

Pulse Oximetry Sensor
Limestone Technologies has integrated the industries’ best medical pulse oximetry design for our latest FingerPLE sensor. NONIN PureSAT® signal processing technology provides exactly what examiners need! Consistently reliable physiological measurements, even in a challenging monitoring environment.
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Editor’s Corner

At the APA seminar I had the opportunity to chat with another “mature” APA member about the heady days for polygraph prior to the 1988 US law that put many of our members out of business. The Wild West had nothing on polygraph back then. Ten tests a day was common, even more, using techniques that would be unrecognizable today. My friend and I agreed that in that bygone era polygraph techniques were pretty much invented by “those old guys”, examiners with maybe a few weeks training, but who spoke with authority by virtue of their financial success, ownership of a school, or being frequent seminar presenters. They had no concept of psychometrics, decision theory, or other skills we associate today with test developers in other fields. Data? Who needed that? Our authorities relied a whole lot on anecdotes, with rare exception. They made it all sound so logical, so clear, so unimpeachably true. Some of these truths, though, have since been shown to be wrong.

My friend and I realized that to the newer examiners, we two were now the old guys. However, these are not those olden days. We have witnessed a titanic shift in polygraphy toward evidence-based practices, away from pronouncements of the old guys. Frankly, we are both happy for

(continued on page 6)
the change (Though we secretly resent missing our turn at making pronouncements. What fun that would have been.) for what it means for the future of the field. Science is in, and faith-based polygraph is out. Poly-babble* has had its day, and we now turn to mainstream terms and concepts. And as for the role of anecdotes, my college professor would simply say “The plural of anecdote is not data.”

Maybe, just maybe, the 1988 US law would have been different if we’d thought about this back then.

*Poly-babble: Invented term that represents invented polygraph terms used instead of those already in common parlance in science and sister disciplines.

Quotables

“Men occasionally stumble over the truth, but most of them pick themselves up and hurry off as if nothing ever happened.”

— Winston Churchill

The Urn of Leonarde Keeler

Thanks to Katie Baldwin for obtaining the photo from the Chapel of the Chimes.
Selection for the
William J. Yankee Scholarship
Award

The awardee for the 2012 William J. Yankee Scholarship is Lt. Craig Stotts of the Twin Falls, Idaho, Police Department. He was chosen from all of the candidates based on his excellent background, work experience, education, and understanding of the field. After completing polygraph school he will become a second-generation polygraph examiner, following in the footsteps of his father Dick Stotts, a 1975 Keeler graduate.

2012 Election of Officers

The election of APA officers has been completed. A total of 458 members participated in the election. The winners are:

President Elect: Charles (Chuck) Slupski
VP Government: Robert Peters
VP Law Enforcement: Walt Goodson
VP Private: George Baranowski
Director 1: Jamie McCloughan
Director 2: Raymond Nelson
Director 4: Michael Gougler

Congratulations to all.

Two Constitutional
Proposals Voted

At the general membership meeting of the APA held at the annual seminar on September 18th, two constitutional proposals were approved by majority vote. In summary, the first proposal created an ex officio position for a Seminar Chair. The second proposal would convert the Secretary position to ex officio status, and create a fifth Director (voting) position. For a full explanation of both proposals, see the July-August 2012 issue of the APA Magazine.
I am a semi retired Polygraph Examiner in the Philadelphia, New York City area. Over the past several years I have had numerous inquiries from Advertising agencies, TV broadcasting, and Magazines to provide them with older polygraph equipment to be used in promoting products and to be used as props on TV shows.

I am looking for Analog Lafayette and Stoelting equipment, with a minimum of 4 channels, in good condition. If you are interested in selling your older analog instruments, please contact me. Howard Temple, (215) 953-5101, templehl@verizon.net.

---

**Counterintelligence Security Polygraph Examiner**

*Las Vegas, Nevada*

Express SRG is looking for a Counterintelligence Security Polygraph Examiner. Negotiating skills and diplomacy are required to interact with high level personnel in the government and in industry. The selected individual must be capable of briefing all levels of personnel, both in the government and industry. Applicants must be flexible and able to travel domestically as requirements dictate.

**Responsibilities include:**

- Complete polygraph examinations on personnel assigned to or working on programs identified by the USAF Polygraph Program Management Office as requiring screening examinations
- Provide qualified DoD certified or former certified polygraph examiners to conduct these exams and upon completion of these exams, document appropriate information in accordance with standard acceptable format to the AFOSI

**Position Requirements:**

- Graduate of the DoD Polygraph training academy and previously certified as a DoD polygraph examiner.
- Minimum of 4 years experience as a polygrapher.
- Ability to handle stress and work well under pressure
- May requires travel within the organizational geographic Area of Responsibility (AOR) (note - could be extensive, and will include both air and ground transportation)
- Be eligible for SAP access and be willing to submit to counterintelligence polygraph.
- Applicant selected will be subject to a government security investigation and must meet eligibility requirements for access to classified information.

**Security Requirements:** U.S. Citizenship and an active DoD TS/SCI clearance based upon a SSBI completed within the last 5 years.

**EEO/M/F/V/D**

If interested please contact Stoney Welborn at 253-850-1344 or Email: stoney.welborn@expresspros.com.

---

**Buy and Sell**

I am a semi retired Polygraph Examiner in the Philadelphia, New York City area. Over the past several years I have had numerous inquiries from Advertising agencies, TV broadcasting, and Magazines to provide them with older polygraph equipment to be used in promoting products and to be used as props on TV shows.

I am looking for Analog Lafayette and Stoelting equipment, with a minimum of 4 channels, in good condition. If you are interested in selling your older analog instruments, please contact me. Howard Temple, (215) 953-5101, templehl@verizon.net.
In Memoriam

Stan Abrams

“Stan” Abrams, one of the leaders of the polygraph profession, passed away on July 1st at age 81. He authored several books and more than two dozen polygraph-related articles over his career, and was one of the originators of the then-new field of Post-Conviction Sex Offender Testing in the 1980s. Stan was also the founder and principal instructor of the Western Oregon State College (now University) Polygraph School, an APA accredited polygraph course which closed at his retirement. Stan was an expert witness in countless criminal trials, including his polygraph examination of Patty Hearst. Stan was also a clinical and forensic psychologist. A man of many talents, and a major force within the polygraph profession, Stan is missed by all who knew him.

Howard C. Kennedy

The APA regrets the passing of Howard C. Kennedy on March 30th. Mr. Kennedy served in World War II in the US Army Air Corp. He joined the FBI as a clerical worker, and over the course of his 36-year career he rose through the ranks, retiring as a Special Agent. Mr. Kennedy was a polygraph examiner for the FBI for 16 years before retiring in 1977. He established his own polygraph firm in St. Louis, Missouri where he conducted testing until 1989. He joined the APA in 1979, and was given Retired Member status in 2000.

Roger Blair McClellan

The APA regrets to announce the death of Roger Blair McClellan, President of Lafayette Instrument Company, on July 9th, 2012. He was 58.

Roger began working for Lafayette Instruments in 1993, eventually purchasing and restructuring the organization. He was the President and General Manager along with his partners, Chris Fausett and Terry Echard. Under his leadership Lafayette Instruments became the largest supplier of polygraphs throughout the world, as well as the developer and manufacturer of specialty devices to combat terrorism for the US Department of Defense. In addition, his company also provides numerous products to the life science community for research on central nervous system disorders. Giving credit to his partners and employees, Roger considered the resurrection of Lafayette Instrument Company to be his greatest professional achievement.

Memorial donations can be made to Accelerate Brain Cancer Cure, Inc. at www.abc2.org.
Polygraph Examiner

Academy for Scientific Investigative Training

Advanced Polygraph
November 29-30 - 16, 2012

PCSOT
November 12 - 16, 2012

Academy of Polygraph Science

Basic Examiner Course
January 7-March 1, 2013 (Fort Myers)
July 8 - August 30, 2013 (Fort Myers)
September 16-November 8, 2013 (Fort Myers)

PCSOT
November 5-9, 2012 (Fort Myers)
March 4-8, 2013 (Fort Myers)
November 11-15, 2013 (Fort Myers)

Examiner Refresher Course
November 26-30, 2012 (Fort Myers)
March 11-15, 2013 (Fort Myers)
November 18-22, 2013 (Fort Myers)

American International Institute of Polygraph

Basic Examiner Course
September 5 - October 26, 2012 (Georgia)
September 5 - November 9, 2012 (Georgia)
October 1 - December 7, 2012 (South Africa)
January 7 - March 1, 2013 (Georgia)
January 7 - March 15, 2013 (Georgia)

Advanced Seminar (16 hours)
November 23 - 24, 2012 (Gauteng, South Africa)

PCSOT
November 12 - 16, 2012 (Georgia)

Advanced PCSOT
December 14 - 15, 2012 (Georgia)

Arizona School of Polygraph Science

Basic Examiner Course
January 28 - March 22, 2013
May 6 - June 28, 2013

Advanced PCSOT
October 6 - 8, 2012

Directed Lie Technique Seminar
October 8 - 9, 2012

Attention School Directors

If you would like to see your school’s course dates listed here, simply send your upcoming course schedule to editor@polygraph.org.
Training Schedule

Backster School of Lie Detection

Basic Examiner Course
January 28 - March 22, 2013

PCSOT
March 25 - 29, 2013

Advanced PCSOT
April 1 - 3, 2013

Annual Polygraph Examiner Work Conference
December 3 - 7, 2012

Marston Polygraph Academy

Basic Examiner Course
January 14 – March 13, 2013
April 15 – June 12, 2013

PCSOT
December 10 - 14, 2012
March 18 – 22, 2013

Maryland Institute of Criminal Justice

Basic Examiner Course
January 7 – March 1, 2013
April 8 – May 31, 2013
September 16 – November 8, 2013

PCSOT
November 12 - 16, 2012
March 4 – 8, 2013

New England Polygraph Institute

Basic Examiner Course
September 10 - November 16, 2012
February 18 - April 26, 2013

Veridicus International Polygraph Academy

Basic Examiner Course
February 4 - April 12, 2013

Virginia School of Polygraph

Basic Examiner Course
September 17 - November 9, 2012

PCSOT
October 1 - 5, 2012
(Norfolk Police Training Academy)

PCSOT Refresher Course
October 1 - 4, 2012
(Norfolk Police Training Academy)

Advanced Interview and Interrogation
October 8-12, 2012
(Norfolk Police Training Academy)
Upcoming Seminars

New Jersey Polygraphists 2012 training seminar will be held at the Trump Plaza Hotel and Casino in Atlantic City, October 10-12, 2012. Speakers will be Dr. John Kircher and Pam Shaw. For more information visit our website www.njpolygraph.net, email NJP at Callmps@aol.com or call 973-931-2028.

The Missouri Polygraph Association is sponsoring the 2012 Tri-State Polygraph Examiners Seminar on October 15-17 at the Branson (MO) Hilton Promenade at Branson Landing. For room reservations, go to www.hilton.com or link through www.missouripolygraph.com, and use group code MPA. Group rate begins at $119.00 plus taxes. Rooms and rates are guaranteed until September 14, 2012, and the rates are good for three days before and after. Capt Walt Goodson, Vice President Law Enforcement for the APA, will speak on the Empirical Scoring System, APA Valid Methods and Standards, and Countermeasures. APA Board Chairman Nate Gordon will present on Interview and Interrogation. The seminar fee before September 15th for members of the Kansas Polygraph Association, Missouri Polygraph Association, and the Nebraska Association of Polygraph Examiners is $150, and for non-members it is $175. After September 14th add $25. For more information, contact Det. Derek Piasecki at (314) 560-3378.

The 2012 Arizona Polygraph Association (AzPA) seminar, which will be held in Tempe, AZ on October 18-19. The seminar will feature 8 hours of training on interviewing and interrogation by Chip Morgan. Mr. Morgan has presented his Focused Interviewing course at international venues. The training is suitable for anyone interested in improving their interviewing skills (investigators, clinicians, law enforcement officers and polygraph examiners.) The second day of training is polygraph-specific, and Mr. Morgan will present 4 hours on the Concealed Information Test and Directed Lie Screening Test. The AzPA annual business meeting will follow the instruction on the second day, and will include election of officers for 2013. More information on the training is available at: http://azpa4truth.org/schedule-of-events/

All of the training has been approved by AZ POST and the American Polygraph Association.

The fee for both days is $85, or $70 for AzPA members. Space is limited; prompt registration is encouraged. If you have questions, please call Wes Burns at (480) 751-9494. To register for the course please contact Secretary Dave Hutchens at dave_hutchens@tempe.gov or (480) 858-6089.
The South Carolina Polygraph Examiners Association (SCAPE) is hosting the Law Enforcement Standardization Course on October 24-26. It will be held at the Greenville County Sheriff’s Office Center for Advanced Training at 150 Thurston Road, Taylors, SC. The course will focus on standardization of the polygraph pretest interviews and test data analysis. The instruction will also cover the Law Enforcement Pre-Employment Test (LEPET), the Air Force Modified General Question Test (AFMGQT), the Zone Comparison Test (ZCT), and test question construction with practical exercises for each method. All instructors are federal examiners/instructors, and the seminar is only open to police and federal examiners. Cost is $70. Make check payable to Greenville County Sheriff’s Office. Registration should be completed by September 14th. For further details and hotel information, contact Sgt. Nate Brooks at nbrooks@greenvillecounty.org or by phone at (864) 467-5480.

The APA and Virginia Polygraph Association (VPA) will co-sponsor a continuing education seminar on November 8-9, 2012 at the Hilton Virginia Beach Oceanfront, 3001 Atlantic Avenue, Virginia Beach, VA 23451. On November 7, 2012 the APA will offer a one-day PCSOT Continuing Education Seminar at the same location. Seminar information and registration forms can be found on pages 15-17 of this issue.

The 2013 Seminar and business meeting of the National Polygraph Association will be held January 21-23 at the Golden Nugget Hotel and Casino in Las Vegas, Nevada. Speakers include Michael Woodrow, Chip Morgan and Ben Blalock. Rooms for the Seminar are $49.00 per night (Sunday -Thursday excluding any applicable tax and energy surcharge). For hotel reservations, call 1-800-634-3454 and mention National Polygraph Association 2013 Group Code GSNPOLY. Reservations must be made by 12-21 to receive seminar rate. Members are invited to attend the Board Meeting on Sunday, January 20, 2013. For more information contact Gary Davis, 785-828-3248 or email the NPA at nationalpolygraph@yahoo.com.

The Northwest Polygraph Examiners Association will hold their 2012-13 Winter Seminar on January 26th and 27th, 2013 in Hood River, Oregon. A total of twelve (12) hours of APA approved continuing education hours on Advanced and Specialized Polygraph Techniques will be offered. A Certificate will be offered. Speakers will be Aaron Holladay of the National Security Agency and Bill Walsh of the Howard County Sheriff’s Department. Mr. Holladay will provide eight hours of instruction on “The Directed Lie Test”. Bill Walsh will present a case study in which a conviction was secured in a bodiless homicide case.

The fee for the seminar is $150 for non-NPEA members and $100 for members, which includes entry to all classrooms and two meals. More detailed information about the seminar, including electronic registration, after class activities, and much more can be found on our web site at: www.nwpea.net.
The LX5000 provides superior physiological data and the most advanced electrodermal solutions that have ever been available to polygraph examiners. Backed by hardware and software engineers with decades of experience, the LX5000 system offers a robust platform that stands apart from other systems, performing under the most demanding conditions. Our LX5000 is the most advanced and flexible polygraph system available today!

**LX5000 Hardware Features**

- Designed as a robust system that is significantly smaller in size, our basic LX5000 System records nine channels at a time, and provides you with many additional benefits including:
  - Data transfer rate up to 360 samples per second across all channels
  - 24-bit analog to digital conversion
  - Small, compact design making transport and storage easy
  - Can add up to 9 additional channels (18 total)
  - Extended measurement ranges
  - Selectable GSR or GSC channel
  - Dedicated PPG channel included
  - Durable, yet lightweight design
  - Operation with our proven, state-of-the-art LXSoftware
  - 3 year warranty and lifetime technical support

**LXSoftware v11.1 Features**

- Windows®-based since 1994, our software offers unparalleled ease-of-use and proven reliability, and is Windows® 7 compatible. LXSoftware comes with POLYSCORE® and Objective Scoring System Scoring Algorithms, as well as, the following features:
  - Updated User List and Audit Trail
  - Ability to “Snap” an Individual Trace to Baseline
  - Integrated Multi-Language Support for English, Spanish, and Russian languages
  - Six EDA choices (GSR or GSC - manual, detrended, and automatic)
  - Multi-Camera Support: will support up to 16 cameras, providing multiple views of the subject
  - Customizable Personal History and Exam/Series forms
  - Scripting Capability
  - Save Polygraph Files and all other documents as PDF formats

sales@lafayetteinstrument.com

www.lafayettepolygraph.com

Phone: (765) 423-1505
CONTINUING EDUCATION SEMINAR

POST CONVICTIO

SEMINAR

TER SEX OFFENDER

TESTING (PCSOT)

CONTINUING EDUCATION CREDITS

When you attend this seminar, you receive up to 8 CEUs (Continuing Education Units) of continuing education credit approved by the American Polygraph Association and the Federal Certification Program for Continuing Education and Training.

CANCELLATION AND REFUND POLICY:

Cancellations received, in writing, before October 20, 2012, will receive a full refund. Persons canceling after October 20, 2012, will not receive a refund but will be provided with the handout material.

TAX DEDUCTIONS

All expenses of continuing education (including registration fees, travel, meals and lodging) taken to maintain and improve professional skills are tax deductible subject to the limitations set forth in the Internal Revenue Code.

(The registration fee includes professional instruction, seminar materials, AM and PM Refreshment Breaks, and Lunch)

CONTINUING EDUCATION IS VITAL TO YOUR SUCCESS AND SHOULD BE A LIFELONG PURSUIT

TO REGISTER FOR THE SEMINAR, PLEASE COMPLETE AND MAIL THIS FORM TO:
APA NATIONAL OFFICE, P.O. BOX 8037, CHATTANOOGA, TN 37414-0037
OR FAX IT TO 423-894-5435

Print Legibly or Type the Following

NAME: ____________________________ BUSINESS PHONE: ____________________________

ADDRESS: ______________________________________________________________________

NAMETAG-CALLED BY: ____________________________________________________________

( ) CHECK MADE PAYABLE TO: AMERICAN POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION IS ENCLO

( ) CHARGE $____________ TO MY: ( ) VISA ( ) MASTERCARD ( ) AE

NUMBER ________________________________________________ EXP. ___________________

SIGNATURE ________________________________________________________________

CES-Virginia Beach, VA (11/7/12) (We can’t possibly reach everyone who would be interested in taking part in this seminar. Please help us by making photocopies of this page for your co-workers and business associates. Thanks for your assistance) (2012)
| **THE AMERICAN POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION (APA)** |
| **CONTINUING EDUCATION SEMINAR** |
| **CO-SPONSOR – VIRGINIA POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION** |

**ADVANCED REGISTRATION IS REQUIRED**

**APA FED ID # 52-1035722**

| THURSDAY and FRIDAY |
| NOVEMBER 8-9, 2012 |
| 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM |

**HILTON VIRGINIA BEACH OCEANFRONT**

3001 ATLANTIC AVENUE

VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23451

To make Hotel Reservations:

Call 1-800-445-8667
or 757/213-3000

$89 (S/D) or Ocean Front rooms $119.00

Plus 13% local tax plus $1.00 Lodging tax

Individual Reservations. Cut-off date 10/08/12

(Cancellations accepted up to 72 hours prior to arrival)

**REGISTRATION FEE**

PRE-PAID BY OCTOBER 20, 2012

- $200 APA Member/Applicant
- * $200 VPA Member
- $225 Non-Member

FEE RECEIVED AFTER OCTOBER 20, 2012

- $250.00 APA Member/Applicant
- * $250.00 VPA Member
- $300.00 Non-Member
- * Must be a paid-up member of VPA.

**ADDITIONAL $50.00 FOR WALK-INS**

**CONTINUING EDUCATION SEMINAR:**

**VALIDATED TECHNIQUES**

**INTERNET-PRE TEST**

**ETHICS/LEGAL UPDATE**

**CONTINUING EDUCATION CREDITS**

When you attend this seminar, you receive up to 16 CEUs (Continuing Education Units) of continuing education credit approved by the American Polygraph Association and the Federal Certification Program for Continuing Education and Training.

**CANCELLATION AND REFUND POLICY:**

Cancellations received in writing before OCT 20, 2012 will receive a full refund. Persons canceling after OCT 20, 2012 will not receive a refund but will be provided with the handout material.

**TAX DEDUCTIONS**

All expenses of continuing education (including registration fees, travel, meals and lodging) taken to maintain and improve professional skills are tax deductible subject to the limitations set forth in the Internal Revenue Code.

(The registration fee includes professional instruction, seminar materials, AM and PM Refreshment Breaks).

**CONTINUING EDUCATION IS VITAL TO YOUR SUCCESS AND SHOULD BE A LIFELONG PURSUIT**

**ADVANCED REGISTRATION REQUIRED**

1-800-272-8037 OR 423-892-3992

FAX 423/894-5435

**TO REGISTER FOR THE SEMINAR, PLEASE COMPLETE AND MAIL THIS FORM TO:**

APA NATIONAL OFFICE, P.O. BOX 8037, CHATTANOOGA, TN 37414-0037

OR FAX IT TO 423-894-5435

Print Legibly or Type the Following

| NAME: __________________________________________ | BUSINESS PHONE: ____________________________ |
| ADDRESS: __________________________________________________________________________________ |

| NAME TAG-CALLED BY: ______________________________________________________________________ |

| ( ) CHECK MADE PAYABLE TO: AMERICAN POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION IS ENCLOSED |
| ( ) CHARGE $_________ TO MY: ( )VISA ( )MASTERCARD ( )AE |
| BE SURE TO INDICATE THE CVV2 CODE. IT IS THE 3 DIGIT CODE ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF VISA/MASTERCARD OR 4 DIGIT NUMBER ON FRONT OF AMERICAN EXPRESS CARD. |
| CVV2 EXP. ____________________________ |

| SIGNATURE ________________________________ |

**CES-Virginia Beach, VA (Nov 8-9, 2012)** We can’t possibly reach everyone who would be interested in taking part in this seminar. Please help us by making photocopies of this page for your co-workers and business associates. Thanks for your assistance. 2012
SCHEDULE

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2012

8:00 AM – 8:15 AM  OPENING CEREMONIES
ALAN MOORE
PRESIDENT VPA

8:15 AM – 5:00 PM  VALIDATED TECHNIQUES
MICHAEL C. GOUGLER
APA DIRECTOR

9:30 AM – 9:45 AM  BREAK

12:00 NOON – 1:00 PM  LUNCH

2:30 PM – 2:45 PM  BREAK

5:00 PM  REVIEW OF DPOR AND VIRGINIA STATE REGULATIONS
SPEAKER: MEMBER OF THE VPA

ANNUAL VIRGINIA POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION MEETING

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2012

8:00 AM – 12:00 NOON  NON-VERBAL EXPRESSION (MICRO-EXPRESSİONS)
PSYCHOLOGİCAL PROFİLING AND SOCIAL İNFLUENCE
LANCE FRAGOMELI, FBI AGENT/POLYGRAPH EXAMİNER
MICHAEL A. SULLİVAN, ASST UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

9:45 AM – 10.00 AM  BREAK

12:00 NOON – 1:00 PM  LUNCH

1:00 PM – 5:00 PM  ETHICS/LEGAL UPDATE
GORDON L. VAUGHAN, ESQ.
APA GENERAL COUNSEL

2:45 PM – 3:00 PM  BREAK

NOTE: “The presentations of the speakers and the materials at this seminar are designed to provide general information on
the seminar topics presented in an effort to help polygraph professionals maintain their professional competence.
The views of the speakers and contents of the materials presented have not been approved by the Board of Directors
of the American Polygraph Association (APA) and, accordingly, should not be construed as representing the policy
of the American Polygraph Association. The presentations and materials provided at this seminar are provided with
the understanding that the APA is not engaged in rendering professional or legal services.”
Intuitive. Powerful. Easy to Use.

Designed from the ground up, CPSpro Fusion is loaded with innovative and powerful new features which will provide you with all the tools necessary to efficiently and reliably conduct, score, and report polygraph examinations. The elegant and intuitive user interface is so simple and transparent that you can navigate with extreme ease. Virtually all functions and actions can be accomplished with a single click at any point in the program.

Fusion’s User Interface Provides a Powerful, Efficient and Intuitive Experience

Click on our new Quick Access Icons to create a New Subject, display the Subject List of stored subjects from which to select, or open the Question Editor to create a new question list, or select a question list, from the library of stored question lists.

Simply put, the CPSpro is the most advanced and easy-to-use polygraph system available.

The new CPSpro combines the unparalleled accuracy of Stoelting’s polygraph hardware with our all-new state-of-the-art Fusion software. Designed from the ground up, CPSpro Fusion is loaded with innovative and powerful new features which will provide you with all the tools necessary to efficiently and reliably conduct, score, and report polygraph examinations.

When your reputation is on the line, and the truth is the only thing that matters, you can be confident that the CPSpro provides you with the tools to make the right call. Let CPSpro put science on your side…
Thank you all for the opportunity to serve you as President. I am so grateful for the opportunity to build upon the foundation laid by good men and women before me. There is much to be done, and it is my goal to continue moving forward.

Let me begin by asking the question, “Why are we here?” Our constitution holds the answer. Let’s look at Article II as a starting point:

“The goal of the American Polygraph Association is to provide mankind with a valid and reliable means to verify the truth of the matter asserted by:

A. Serving the cause of truth with integrity, objectivity and fairness to all persons.
B. Encouraging and supporting research, training and education to benefit members of the Association as well as those who support its purpose and by providing a forum for the presentation and exchange of information derived from such research, training and education.
C. Establishing and enforcing standards for admission to membership and continued membership in the Association.
D. Governing the conduct of members of the Association by requiring adherence to a Code of Ethics and a set of Standards of Practice.”

I think “objectivity” is a key word. There must be some standard (outside of us) by which we measure what is “valid and reliable.” That’s really a nice way of saying we must be scientific in our approach to what we do. This has been the APA’s mission since 1966. I hear some talk of the “art” of polygraph. I don’t see that word anywhere in our mission. After all, “art” generally has to do with aesthetics. If we mean art in the sense of “skill,” that is, one’s abilities to apply certain principles and methods (which brings us back to science), then that makes sense. (“Art,” by the way, is an archaic term meaning “science.”) If, however, “art” is a term used as a means of dismissing one’s responsibility to utilize an evidence-based approach, then I find that problematic.
Goals ‘B’ through ‘D,’ in a nutshell, say we’ll support a culture of continuous improvement, using what we learn to better equip and govern our members while providing the public we serve with the best we can offer at any given time. Note the words “training” and “education” are used. Some might say it is a difference without a distinction, but that is not true. We may not think about it, but we know there is a difference: If your daughter said she needed you to sign her permission slip to allow her to participate in sex education at school, you’d probably be okay with it. If she asked to participate in “sex training,” you’d probably respond much differently. Training refers to the know-how; education, the know-why, but hold on to those points for a few moments.

We live in an exciting age for all the forensic sciences; however, it’s also – potentially – a somewhat uncomfortable time. It is always difficult to admit some things need to change, for that implies you’ve been doing it wrong, but I don’t believe that is necessarily the case. I think it was Joseph Bono, past president of the AAFS, who pointed out that at one time we only had blood typing as an option. Today we have DNA. Were they wrong back then? No, they did what they knew how to do.

We’re all very familiar with the National Academy of Sciences 2003 report on polygraph screening, and we need to be familiar with their 2009 report on the state of forensic science. Their findings weren’t all that flattering. In short, they found there wasn’t necessarily a lot of science in the forensic sciences. I think that’s a symptom of the normal evolution of any body of knowledge. Nonetheless, it was a wake-up call.

The 2009 recommendations included the following:

- An independent (government) agency that could implement and enforce standards and best practices
- Mandatory certification of forensic labs and forensic scientists (proficiency testing)
- Overseeing educational standards and the accreditation of forensic programs in colleges and universities
- Standardizing terminology used in reporting and testifying
- Drafting model lab reports and standards for the minimum amount of information they contain
- Identifying quality control procedures

The report has impacted the forensic science community in a profound way. Essentially, the forensic science community has embraced the recommendations, and they are attempting to work with the US House and Senate to create the legislation necessary to make them a reality. In July a representative from the International Association of Chiefs of Police testified before the US Senate Judiciary Committee regarding the latest piece of legislation. The IACP supports individual competency testing of practitioners.

I know there has been an opposition to competency testing in the past. I’m not sure why. It’s coming whether we want it or not, and that is the simple reality of the day. If I were to ask if there are any examiners among our members whose work scares you, then you have your own proficiency testing standards. Why not formalize them? Proficiency testing can help us identify needs in individuals and educational programs and address them correctly, an implied goal of the APA.
A member of the Consortium of Forensic Science Organizations (CFSO) also testified. The CFSO consists of six forensic science organizations including the AAFS, ASCLAD and the IAI. Their representative testified for more rigorous educational programs at the undergraduate and graduate levels. What we hear from the forensic science community as a whole is a push towards an interdisciplinary approach to equipping practitioners and a way to make sure everybody plays by the same, enforceable rules.

The testimony sounded strangely familiar to me, and perhaps it does to some of you too. In his 1991 report to the APA BOD, Dr. William Yankee said the following: “Making a transition from [a technician type training program] to a professional program is merely a second step in the evolution of the profession.” (Remember our goals: training and education.) Among other things, Dr. Yankee said a professional attitude is a crucial element of a professional program, stating the real test of professional identity (beyond membership in professional organizations) is whether each individual member is capable and willing to engage in a rational defense of the profession. He articulated a need for two types of accreditation, one at a technician level and one at a professional (graduate) level, and he desired to see the APA’s accreditation program at a level of other professional accreditors.

Like Dr. Yankee long ago and the leaders of other forensic disciplines today, I believe education is the key to our future survival. I’m concerned that should we fail to take control of our destiny as a profession, somebody else will, and our schools are key. As many of you may know, the APA accreditation standards are in the process of a revision. I asked the current committee and will soon task the incoming committee chair with exploring how we can best accredit polygraph training and education programs in a manner consistent with other specialized accrediting bodies while at the same time ensuring we can meet the needs of our consumers.

The NAS recommendations to which I referred earlier go beyond training and education, and they cannot be ignored. Therefore, I will be appointing an ad-hoc committee tasked with an in depth assessment as to where we stand as an organization in regard to the relevant recommendations and reporting on our options for their implementation.

On another note, I believe our goals mandate we take a position on dangerous, unproven technologies and practitioners. Both voice stress operators and polygraph “examiner” impostors are active in many places throughout the world. We clearly need a strategy to defend polygraph and defeat efforts by those whose main goal, whether they know it or not, is to fleece the uninformed consumer.

Recently, we’ve been seeing voice stress users pushing for legislation allowing them operate in new areas. It is true that all politics are local, and the APA has learned we cannot simply send help or throw money at these issues. We need to have a plan in advance as to how to best proceed, including knowing whom we can call upon at the local level when the alarm is sounded. Historically the APA and AAPP have stood together on these issues, and I expect that will continue.
I will be directing the Communications and Public Relations committee to work with local associations to formulate, implement and continually reassess a program to better respond to these needs in the field. Our international membership is growing and shares in these problems, but solutions may vary more due to the different types of governments involved; therefore, I ask that our international members would consider volunteering for the committee. (In fact, volunteer for any committee for which you can offer assistance, and consider presenting or writing on a topic that would be of benefit to your fellow members.)

What we do matters, and we need to maintain the highest standards and practices possible. They will constantly evolve, but that is the nature of what we do. Let's not take chances and let somebody else make decisions for us. If we do, we may find them hard to impossible to live with.

In closing, let me once again say how honored I am to have to have been elected as your President. I appreciate your confidence and support. As always, if there is anything I can ever do for you, please do not hesitate to contact me. God bless.

Board of Directors’ Reports

Pam Shaw
Chairman of the Board

Hello fellow professionals! We have just concluded the 47th APA annual seminar in San Diego! Thank you to all of you who attended and made the week so enjoyable. If you haven’t heard, this seminar was our highest attended annual event in APA history! That’s awesome! Not only was it a terrific turnout, but the feedback we received from members on everything from location, facilities, topics and guest speakers has been wonderful. I’m so glad that so many of you had a positive experience, but equally important, I’m also glad that we were able to come together and learn, network and plan for our profession’s future. I’d like to send out another big thank you to ALL of our wonderful sponsors!!! Your contributions and participation are a big part of creating our fantastic experiences! Also, congratulations again to all of our award winners!

With the conclusion of the seminar, I have now officially concluded my presidential term. I would like to take a moment and thank all of you for a successful and wonderful year! I mentioned it several times during the seminar, but I don’t want to miss this opportunity to say it again…I am very honored to have had the opportunity to serve as president! It is only because of the hard work of your Board and of the individuals that make up our association that we have been able to grow as much as we have in the past year. It has been a pleasure to hear the various comments of personal and professional support and expressions of confidence in the direction we have taken as an association. Thank you for your continual support and thank you to each of you that gave of your time, your energy and your resources to ensure our association’s success in a time of transition.

Though I have concluded one term of service, I am very thankful to be afforded an opportunity to continue to serve the association for another year as Board Chair. I’m sure the year ahead will continue to be busy, especially as we continue to see through to completion a revised school accreditation
manual while continuing to research avenues that will further enhance and develop our profession's credibility in the scientific community. President Cushman made it very clear in his presidential speech that we need to look further down the road and begin to invest in and initiate plans now for our future successes. I whole-heartedly agree.

As we go into the year ahead, I hope that many of you have or will submit volunteer forms to the National Office in an effort to get involved in one or more of the various APA committees. Getting involved in a committee is a great way to network with other examiners while getting a closer look at some of the issues our profession is facing. Committees are not necessarily about identifying problems, but rather, they're a means to becoming part of solutions. And sometimes, it's just about service and giving back to the professional community at large. If you have questions about the various committees or if you would like to volunteer to serve in a particular capacity, please don't hesitate to call our National Office and let them know. They can get you in touch with the appropriate person and/or respective committee.

In closing, I hope everyone had safe travels home and that you have returned to the field with a sense of renewed dedication and commitment to professionalism. I really enjoyed our time in San Diego and am looking forward to what we can accomplish together in the year ahead.

Walt Goodson
Vice President, Law Enforcement

Greetings all! I am writing this as I recover from the 47th Annual Seminar in San Diego. The conference had over 800 members, guests and vendors present which made it the largest APA annual conference in the history of this great association. I want to thank and congratulate Director Mike Gougler for putting together a seamless program that has set a new benchmark on what a training seminar can offer its membership. He is setting a trend, as each conference is breaking the attendance record of the prior year. Although over 800 attendees this year is high, the fact that next year is in Orlando with an even more elegant hotel near Disney World for under a 100 dollars a night, 2013 may set a new record for seminar attendance. Mike's efforts along with the tireless work of the seminar committee and the members of the APA board, deserve credit and recognition as I know that some work must be done every day for the 14 months leading up to the conference or it would not run as smoothly as it did.

I want to also recognize the efforts of both Robbie and Lisa in the National Office. They dedicate themselves to the Association and make sure that this and all conferences go off without a flaw. Lisa has taken a much larger role in the day to day operations of the Association and her kindness and spirit are difficult not to notice. She is an asset to the Association and her presence in the National Office will become even more vital as the duties of the Board Secretary are moved to an ex officio position under the National Office.

While I'm thanking people for their service to the conference I have to thank a few of our members and officers. Director Raymond Nelson taught several courses while working to set up and tear down the audio/video early and late each day for each of the classrooms as well as setting up the translation booths in each room. In addition, he did significant work on the school accreditation manual and in other areas during the conference. I don't think he ever slept by proof of his 3AM email messages. My friend Steve Duncan was also a tremendous help throughout the conference as he was called on at the last minute to present a
training course. Steve was there early and late each day setting up the audio/video for each of the training rooms just because he is one of those types that is truly dedicated to service. Steve has indeed given so much to the APA over a number of years as a Director as well as behind the scenes. If you ever see him, be sure to thank him for his service. Last and certainly not least, I have to thank my good friends Don Clendennen and Sabino Martinez for their work at the conference. Neither of these two men, especially Don, had much of an opportunity to sleep during the conference as they hosted the nightly hospitality room. I laugh because Mike Gougler pulled a fast one on Don. (Mike supervised me for a long time so I know it was intentional) Mike allowed Don to sleep in the hospitality room each night if Don would agree to be the host. This sounded like a great deal until Don realized he was tending bar until 2AM each night. Anyway, the hospitality room was very successful (it was supposed to shut down at 11PM) thanks to Sabino and Don. Due to its success, the APA Board has discussed expanding it in future conferences.

Shifting gears, I want to sincerely thank each of you who once again supported me in the last election to serve again as your VP-Law Enforcement. I don’t take this for granted and I’m extremely humbled by your support of me. I also want to thank my opponent Delmer Gross, of the Indiana State Police, for his fierce competition in the election. I had an opportunity to visit with Delmer at the conference and I know he has genuine interest for the APA and you will see a lot of great things from him in the future. I’ll repeat what I said last year because I mean it. You voted me in to serve you, so if you need help please reach out to me as I will do everything I can to help you accomplish your goals.

Overall, last year was a good year for the APA. President Pam Shaw completed everything she had hoped to accomplish in further professionalizing the APA by moving it in a direction that is more consistent with other science and psychology professional associations. In case you missed it elsewhere, some of Pam’s major accomplishments were updating our school accreditation standards and creation of a model policy of examinee suitability. Pam is truly one of the most caring and genuine persons I’ve ever worked with and it was a joy to serve with her over the last year. I’ll miss her professional leadership; however, I can also say that our newly sworn president, Barry Cushman, is the brightest police polygraph examiner I have ever met and he will keep moving our association forward.

Once again, during our Saturday board meeting, President Cushman assigned me as the General Chair of the Ethics and Grievance Committee. I had approximately 10 formal complaints that were investigated this past year and many more informal inquiries. I’m happy to report that none of them required formal disciplinary action by the APA. This absence of formal sanctions against our members is a credit to the overall professionalism of the organization and I suspect it will continue. I have selected some of my members for this committee and my esteemed colleague, Lieutenant Matt Hicks, has agreed to serve as the Committee Chair. If you have interests in serving on this committee, please contact me and let me know. We worked hard last year but we all grew professionally from the experience. Thank you again for your support and as I said earlier, if you need my help, all you have to do is call or email.
Hi everyone,

Before I get much into this column, I want to say on a personal note, thank you for all the prayers you gave for my wife’s recovery. Those who have seen her recently can certainly agree that they worked. Paula is in a good recovery mode and we have another operation to experience in October, but we have developed a saying between us that “It only gets better from here on in.”

Regarding my report, I wouldn’t be surprised if this report is probably starting out sounding like every other board member’s report by talking about the September APA Conference in San Diego. You can’t help it because it was really something. The weather, the location, the weather, the hotel, the weather, the speakers, the weather, the banquet, the vendors, the weather….Yeah I know, but it’s just hard not to mention the weather because San Diego is boasted to be (and from my perspective rightfully so) the second greatest climate in the world (next to the French Riviera). I wanted to jest a little about the weather, because coming out of perfect 70 degree weather, with no humidity for 10 days, and then “thrust” into the reality of “real weather” in the 50’s when our plane landed in Chicago was a brutal shock. (It got down into the 40’s at night).

In talking about the conference however, and from a board member’s perspective, I can’t keep from telling you about what fantastic efforts took place by many of the board members that made this whole thing fly. I wanted to make some comments about this because I’ve been going to a number of conferences over the last 30 years, but as an attendee. You don’t really get a feel for the heaps of efforts and accomplishments by individuals that I’m going to refer to as special board members who undertake and complete assignments that attribute to the success of these conferences. But as a board member, who gets to see first-hand what goes on, you get a deeper appreciation for the details that transpire. Every board member contributes significantly to this effort, from our Editor Don Krapohl who kept us informed of all this through our magazines and on-line information, to President Shaw who served as the most exceptional president I have ever seen, to our Secretary Vickie to Board Members Chuck and Marty and now our new President Barry Cushman. I saw the great effort that Walt Goodson did with keeping the audio visual capabilities in each classroom running, and yes, despite all the kidding, the important role of our treasurer Chad Russell. But I’m also sure everyone on the board would agree that you can’t help to recognize that a major part of the conference’s operational success is because of the direct efforts of Seminar Chairperson Robbie Bennett and Program Chairman Michael Gougler. The work done by these individuals for this now past convention actually began more than a year ago and never let up. The thousands of details, decisions and work that made this conference run smooth and professionally were through their energies; I saw it. This year marked a new attendance record and had a great International representation that included members from over 20 countries outside the United States. To help make this all work, and in addition to delivering some of the most essential topics at the seminar, Director Ray Nelson coordinated interpretation capabilities in the meeting rooms for many of our international attendees who needed that assistance.

There is another individual whose significant work contribution to the APA is quite remarkable. It’s my feeling that the average member never realizes...
Getting back to this year’s conference, I want to personally thank those who gave me those positive comments and sent emails about my talk on Monday afternoon. I humbly value those comments and made note of your business card email sites and I will advise you as promised of any future presentations on this subject. Regarding the information that Mark, Michael, Stan, John, Guillermo, Richard and Robert asked for, and anyone else that might have been interested, the article I referred to in my talk that I had written appeared in the September/October 2011 issue of the *APA Magazine* entitled “Text-To-Speech-Assisted Polygraph Testing.” The script that I had used for the speaking program on Monday was pretty much as it appeared in the January 2009 issue of the AAPP Police Polygraph Digest entitled “A Focused Polygraph Technique for Law Enforcement Screening & PCSOT” by Ben Blalock, Raymond Nelson and Mark Handler. As I stated in my talk on Monday, it was Mark Handler and Ben Blalock who turned me on to this Text-To-Speech concept and I owe them a lot for all of this.

That’s about it for this segment and as those old familiar sayings go, “The best is yet to come.”

**Mike Gougler**

**Director**

Fellow Members,

Thank you for making the 2012 Annual APA Seminar in San Diego a success. It was good to see so many old friends and meet new ones.

A special thanks to all our sponsors for once again donating their time and financial contributions to enhance the seminar experience for our members.
Kudos are in order for the annual award recipients; congratulations to each of you.

The San Diego experience was truly an event to remember. The Sunday night reception, training classes, Tuesday night dinner cruise and the annual banquet all received great reviews from the membership.

As we move forward to the 2013 Annual Seminar let me remind you that the Sunday afternoon training session, as well as interpreter services will continue in Orlando, Florida. We have increased our room allotment for Orlando but with a $97 room rate at the Hilton Bonnet Creek, the rooms will sell out fast. Please reserve your room early. Reservations will open shortly after January 1, 2013.

Once again, I have been assigned as the seminar program chairman. I would appreciate any assistance offered. The Florida Polygraph Association will be approached for assistance. The APA Board has authorized me to extend the APA member rate to any Florida Polygraph Association member in good standing; we look forward to your involvement.

Congratulations to those elected to the APA Board of Directors. I look forward to working with you to move the association forward. Thank you Pam Shaw for an outstanding year as president, under your leadership we accomplished many objectives.

Please submit worthy nominations for the 2013 APA awards. We want to present all the awards to deserving individuals. Your involvement in this process is crucial.

Thank you Cleve Backster for attending and presenting at the seminar. Your contributions have led the profession to where we are today.

In closing, I look forward to serving the association and you the membership in the years ahead.

Jamie McCloughan
Director

As this is my first report after being newly elected to the Board of Directors, let me start off by thanking the membership for supporting me in this position. Please feel free to contact me anytime with your ideas, questions or concerns that you have.

For those who missed this year’s seminar in San Diego, I will say that, aside from a record breaking attendance, you missed the great training that was received by all who attended. Mike Gougler et al did a fantastic job keeping everything flowing smoothly and offering after class hour’s camaraderie sessions that allowed examiners to both share experiences and gain network contacts that are sometimes missed in the conventional format. I personally enjoyed hearing how many of you are involved in the polygraph profession and what your agency or business is doing to help provide a better service to those in your community. Of course, as always, Robbie Bennett and her staff are always working tirelessly behind the scenes to make sure that your experience is an enjoyable one.

I probably will be among a few to remind you to get your reservations in early for next year’s seminar in Orlando, FL. Our numbers in attendance have seemed to be increasing yearly and this location has proven to have record numbers of those attending in the past. Also, make sure you contact me or another board member if you have any suggestions for the seminar and how the association can provide further benefits to the members.

Being the new kid on the block, I have been assigned to the School Accreditation Committee.
There has been a flurry of work done on this committee in the past by some talented, intelligent and hardworking individuals, whom will be named at such time changes have been officially made. As chair of this committee, I am looking to provide short, mid and long term goals to help bring our school accreditation and inspection in line with other professions.

If you forgot to sign up for a committee or have not done so for other reasons, I encourage every member to participate in the various committees we have, so that you might have an opportunity to share your ideas and gain the wisdom of others who are returning members. I always need to remind myself that I can't complain unless I myself do something to contribute. You know the old adage, “if you’re not part of the solution, you are part of the problem.”

To all of my brethren serving in law enforcement and to those military personnel putting their lives on the line every day to ensure my safety and freedom, be safe and Godspeed in your return to your loved ones.

Raymond Nelson
Director

Fellow examiners and APA members:

We have just completed another fantastic APA seminar, in San Diego. It was terrific to see approximately 750 or more members present for this very important continuing education opportunity, coupled with the opportunity to watch and participate in the business of the APA. Director Michael Gougler is to be commended again for orchestrating a fine line-up of speakers for the entire week. This conference marks the second time the conference sessions were extended with a half-day pre-conference workshop on Sunday before the conference opening. Attendees at the conference had the opportunity to choose from world-class speakers from government, law-enforcement and private practice. Those in need of PCSOT training hours found the opportunity to complete 16 or more hours specific to that testing specialty. Among the highlights of this year's conference was the appearance of Cleve Backster in a question and answer session, and at the banquet where he received a standing ovation in admiration of his developments and dedication to the polygraph profession. One of the best things about attending a large annual conference is the ability to meet examiners, old and new friends, from all around the country and all around the world. This year we had examiners in attendance from a number of European, Asian, and Latin-american countries. Over 200 international members attended the conference.

Congratulations are in order for President Cushman for assuming office, and to past-president Shaw for a successful term of service. Also, congratulations and thanks to APA Secretary Vickie Murphy for her award of Life Membership for her years of dedicated service to the APA.

This was the second conference for which the APA provided simultaneous language translation for conference attendees whose primary language is Spanish – the largest group of international attendees. The APA, having leased the simultaneous translation equipment, and Chris Fausett of Lafayette Instrument Company sponsored the translation by providing travel and lodging for the translators. One of the translators could not attend due to a family emergency, and APA member examiner Rodolfo Prado, also an experienced and certified translator, volunteered a
tremendous amount of work to provide an excellent simultaneous translation of the conference sessions. Margarita Lebrija and her associates from Panama, and Leonidas Guzman of Colombia volunteered to help manage the translation equipment throughout the week. The APA owes great thanks to all these people, and several others, for helping to make the conference more successful and productive for Spanish-speaking attendees. (Full disclosure: I work for the Lafayette Instrument Company, and serve as school director with Rodolfo Prado at the International Polygraph Training Center). I want to invite anyone to contact myself or Mike Gougler if you are interested in volunteering time or financial assistance to help provide translation to make the 2013 conference equally successful.

The past year, since the 2011 conference, has been a very busy one. Members of the research committee have been around the country and around the world providing information on the meta-analysis on validated polygraph techniques. On the opening day of the conference we announced the progress that has been made towards the completion of additional work on the Backster techniques, along with information on the MSU MGQT – for which Dr. Frank Horvath alerted us of the publication and replication studies. On a very personal level, I am immensely proud to be married now, shortly after the 2011 conference, to APA member Nayeli Hernandez of Mexico. As shown at the conference, Nayeli and I spent the weeks after our weddings completing projects to study the Relevant-Irrelevant technique – the results of which seem to suggest a degree of validity consistent with our expectations and the requirements of the APA standards of practice. Work continues on the Marcy technique, and we are anticipating the receipt of sample data within the next few months.

One of the noteworthy achievements of the past year is the adoption, by the APA Board, of a Model Policy for the Evaluation of Examinee Suitability for Polygraph Testing.* In its present form, as a model policy, the APA does not intend to engage in any active enforcement of the new practice guidelines. Instead the new model policy is intended to serve as a point of reference for examiners without local or agency regulations, and to provide a vehicle for gaining additional experience in the formulation of field practice standards around the somewhat complex issue surrounding examinee suitability. Things would be simple if all examinees were physically and mentally healthy persons, but experience tells us to expect that many people who are referred for polygraph testing may exhibit a variety of cognitive, emotional and social problems. Questions and challenges pertaining to examinee suitability are common enough they can be predicted in some circumstances. Up to this time, most of the answers to these questions were based in mere opinion, and most were not adequately grounded in evidence or standardized field practices. However, evidence does exist at the present time to inform the adoption of a model policy that can relieve field examiners and program managers of some uncertainty regarding whether to forgo testing through an abundance of caution when there are indications of functional exceptionalities, or to proceed with testing in attempt to provide help to referring professionals who feel they need the assistance of information and results from polygraph testing. Please let us know your thoughts and questions concerning the new model policy on examinee suitability.

Among the upcoming projects for the next year will be to assist the school accreditation committee and school directors in the formulation of a APA final examination for all accredited polygraph schools. This was discussed with the school directors committee meeting, of which school director
From the Board

Ben Blalock is again serving as President. Part of the planned initiative is to potentially use the same examination or question bank to develop a competency certification test for existing members – in service of the present strategic plan which can be located at the APA website.

The next year will be another busy year of training, testing, and development. As always, it has been an honor and a privilege to be of service to the profession and the association. I look forward to seeing you in the field, and I look forward to your questions and comments. Please let us know how the 2012-13 APA Board can help to serve your needs.

*Editor’s note: The APA Model Policy for the Evaluation of Examinee Suitability for Polygraph Testing will be published in the December issue of Polygraph.

GET TRAINED BY THE BEST

New England Polygraph Institute

ONLY SCHOOL IN THE WORLD ACCREDITED BY CAPP

- Accredited by the APA, CAPP, NHAPP and recognized by the AAPP
- One of only three schools in North America with a memorized pretest and a pretest booklet
- PEC 11-02 averaged 19 practical examinations during their 10 week Course
- Staffed by APA Certified Primary Instructors with over a century of law enforcement polygraph experience
- Housing and all course materials provided

Next Available Courses

SPRING 2012
Polygraph Examiners Course:
PEC 12-01
Feb. 20 - April 27

SUMMER 2012
PCSOT Course
APA Approved:
40 Hours
June 4 - June 8

FALL 2012
Polygraph Examiners Course:
PEC 12-02
Sept. 10 - Nov. 16

Quotables

The aim of argument, or of discussion, should not be victory, but progress.”

- Joseph Joubert

EDA in Hollywood?

Click here:
The truth of the matter is, administering a polygraph exam without insurance is reckless.

Professional and Personal Injury Liability

Optional Coverages Available:
  - Interviewing
  - Written Testing
  - Private Investigation
  - Background Checks
  - Law Enforcement Polygraphs

General Liability (available in most states)

Complete Equity Markets, Inc.
In California: dba Complete Equity Markets Insurance Agency, Inc. CASL# 0D44077
1190 Flex Court Lake Zurich, IL 60047-1578
www.cemins.com/poly.html 800-323-6234
Contact: Melanie Javens direct line 847-777-7460
A High Profile Case Study:  
The Polygraph Examination of Giovanni Ramirez  

Jack Trimarco and Donald Krapohl

It was the 2011 season opener for baseball’s LA Dodgers, a much anticipated contest with the San Francisco Giants. Lines had started forming around the stadium in the early hours. The Dodgers-Giants matchup had always brought out the fans in droves. Short of the World Series, this was one of the most attended events of the season. It was also half-price beer day which added to the already-palpable excitement among the legions of passionate Dodger and Giant fans as they moved toward their seats.

This day was more than just the love of the game: Dodger and Giant fan support for their respective teams is legendary. That love was only marginally exceeded by their disdain for the opposing club and each other’s fans.

The contest drew crowds on workdays, in bad weather, during hard economic times, and it didn’t seem to matter when these two rivals were playing each other. And it has been this way for longer than anyone can remember.

The rivalry between the Dodgers and the Giants dates to over a century ago, back to when both ball clubs were in New York, making it one of the longest running rivalries in all of Major League Baseball. It remained the number one not-to-be-missed event for many Los Angeles and San Francisco fans.

It was Thursday, March 31, 2011 with 56,000 fans packed into Dodger Stadium, and it turned out to be a close contest. With no runs in the first five innings the Dodgers managed to get single runs in the sixth and then again

It was Thursday, March 31, 2011 with 56,000 fans packed into Dodger Stadium . . .

. . . security at Dodger Stadium was high that day, with twice as many staff as the most-attended game in 2010. But even with these thoughtful precautions, no one could have anticipated what would come next.
in the eighth. Going into the ninth it was the Dodgers up 2-0. In the final inning the Giants were only able to pull out one run, and the game ended with ecstatic Dodger fans, and with Giants fans looking to redeeming themselves at the next game. Final score: 2-1 Dodgers.

The close score left a mixed mood as the crowd of fans found their way to the exits. In the case that overflowing exuberance might lead to post-game criminal behavior among the fans, security at Dodger Stadium was high that day, with twice as many staff as the most-attended game in 2010. But even with these thoughtful precautions, no one could have anticipated what would come next.

Both the rejoicing and the despondent fans made their way to the parking lots, and to cars, taxis and buses. Amid this throng was Bryan Stow, a paramedic and the 42-year-old father of two, along with three of his friends who were all committed (if-disappointed) Giants fans dressed in orange and black Giants jerseys. They walked through the Dodger Stadium parking lot and then decided to walk a little past the taxi stand in order to avoid the line and perhaps catch a cab further from the stadium. As they worked their way through the thinning crowd at the end of the poorly lighted parking area Stow and a friend were suddenly attacked from behind. Stow was knocked to the ground. He was then kicked repeatedly in the side of the head. Two of Stow’s friends did the best they could, throwing themselves on top of Stow to cover him, and pleaded for the attacker to stop. Witnesses saw someone grab the attacker, and say “that’s enough.” Then the pair ran away.

Stow was left in bad shape. He had sustained life-threatening injuries to his brain and skull, and later had to be placed in a medically induced coma. A portion of his skull was replaced with a prosthesis. In the early stages of his extensive treatments his medical and rehabilitation expenses would be estimated to exceed $50 million. Doctors stated that Stow would be permanently handicapped, and he would no longer be able to work as a paramedic.
The beating made international news, and Los Angeles police worked feverishly to identify and apprehend the suspects. A police sketch artist was called in, and produced drawings that were placed on a community alert (Image 1). A $100,000 reward was put out for information leading to the arrest of the attackers, later increased to $200,000 and finally to $250,000. Twenty detectives worked over 6,000 hours, chasing down hundreds of dead-end leads and bogus tips, but for over six weeks after the attack no real progress was made.

In another part of town in the days following the attack a parole officer met with one of his parolees, Giovanni Ramirez. During the meeting he noticed a resemblance between Ramirez (Image 2) and the police sketch. Also,
Ramirez had tattoos on his neck as described in the community alert. Ramirez was a documented member of the notorious Varrio Nuevo Estrada street gang. He had a history of violence, with two prior felony convictions, and he was also a suspect in a Nevada shooting.

Ramirez's parole officer reported him as a possible suspect, but the police did not initially act on the information because their investigation had for a time led them in a different direction. Later, the parole officer met again with Ramirez, and noticed that Ramirez had covered over his older tattoos with newer ones, something which the parole officer thought may have been an attempt to cover identifiable images. Again the parole officer reported Ramirez to the police, and this time he got their attention.

On Sunday morning, May 22nd, a Los Angeles SWAT team surrounded Ramirez's run-down apartment building just three miles from Dodger Stadium, and with weapons in hand, focused on his apartment at the end of the second floor. They announced on loudspeaker for everyone in the apartment to surrender. Everyone did, and Ramirez was taken into custody without incident. He was arrested, and held without bail on a parole violation.

The arrest brought some much-sought relief to the Los Angeles community. At last one of the culprits had been apprehended, and the second arrest could not be far behind. Even Los Angeles Police Chief Charlie Beck expressed a new confidence. During one of his numerous press conferences after Ramirez's arrest, Beck announced that Ramirez “is, and was, and has been our primary suspect [in] the Stow beating...I am as sure as you need to be to make an arrest and pursue a prosecution...We continue down that road in our preparation of presenting a case to the district attorney in the near future.” When asked whether their identification lineups had also pointed to Ramirez, Beck would only say the lineups “added to the evidence.”

Ramirez, however, remained adamant in his claims of innocence despite the evidence. According to his attorney, Ramirez demanded a polygraph test shortly after his arrest. He claimed he had never been to Dodger Stadium in his life, and he had hoped a polygraph test would help prevent the prosecutors from filing charges against him.

Eventually, Ramirez’s defense team received court authorization for Ramirez to undergo a Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) polygraph examination. As defense attorneys often do as a precaution, they arranged for Ramirez to be tested first by their own polygraph examiner. The attorneys contacted the first author, Jack Trimarco, a former chief of the FBI's Los Angeles polygraph unit now in private practice.
The exam was scheduled at the county jail the evening before the LAPD exam. During the review of the case just before the session, Trimarco learned that Ramirez had been repeatedly interrogated up to and including the day of the scheduled exam by the LAPD Robbery/Homicide Division. This posed a problem: Interrogation, especially intense ones and those near the time of the exam, can influence an examinee's sensitivity to relevant topics. Trimarco initially recommended that the examination be delayed, but learned that there would not be a second opportunity. 

Anticipating that Ramirez had been interrogated aggressively about the assault, Trimarco decided that there were other potential topics that were linked to the crime that Ramirez might not have been sensitized to by the interrogations. One possible topic was whether Ramirez's claim was valid when he stated he’d never been to Dodger Stadium. If true, Ramirez could not have participated in the assault of Stow. If the results were DI, however, the implications were clear, and Trimarco could then either interrogate from a position of strength or prepare for additional testing with more direct questions.

Trimarco then prepared the following test questions:

1. Are you now sitting down?

2. Regarding if you were at Dodger Stadium when that man was attacked, do you intend to be completely truthful with me about that?

3. Are you convinced that I won’t ask you any surprise questions on this test?

4. Before 2011, have you ever lied to get out of trouble?

5. Were you on Dodger Stadium property during opening day?

6. Before 2011, have you ever lied to someone who loved or trusted you?

7. Were you at Dodger Stadium when that man was attacked?

8. Before 2011, have you ever lied to an authority figure?

9. Are you sure that I won’t try to trick you on this test?

To ensure that there was a common understanding and that the relevant questions would be on target, Trimarco had Ramirez explicitly state what “that man”, “opening day” and “Dodger Stadium” meant, which he did to Trimarco’s satisfaction. Then Ramirez was tested using the “You Phase” technique in which four charts were collected.

Results

Three-position scoring of the four charts produced a total score of +10, and Trimarco reported a result of No Deception Indicated. On May 31, 2011 he sent the charts to former FBI polygraph examiner at San Francisco, California, Ron Homer. Mr. Homer determined numerically that Ramirez was non-deceptive to the relevant issue.

Figures 1-4 are of the four charts. The data were collected on an analog instrument, and therefore the electrodermal tracing is offset by about seven seconds to the left of the other tracings.
Figure 1: Chart 1. Relevant questions are labeled as 5 and 7.
Probable-lie comparison questions are 4, 6 and 8.

Figure 2: Chart 2. Relevant questions are labeled as 5 and 7.
Probable-lie comparison questions are 4, 6 and 8.
Figure 3: Chart 3. Relevant questions are labeled as 5 and 7. Probable-lie comparison (PLC) questions are 4, 6 and 8. An irrelevant question had been placed between questions 7 and 8 due to an examinee movement, but that section was edited out on this chart in order to show the tracings of all relevant and PLC questions.

Figure 4: Chart 4. Relevant questions are labeled as 5 and 7. Probable-lie comparison questions are 4, 6 and 8.
Conclusion

Trimarco’s report was made available to the LAPD. Ramirez was never charged with the attack on Stow. However, on June 20, 2011, Giovanni Ramirez was sent to state prison for 10 months due to the SWAT team finding a weapon in the residence where he was staying. Having access to a weapon was a parole violation for Ramirez. After serving his sentence, Ramirez was released on parole on March 17, 2012.

One month after Ramirez entered prison, Louie Sanchez and his brother-in-law, Marvin Norwood, were arrested by the LAPD. The lead came after they purportedly bragged to coworkers about beating Stowe. In custody both men made statements to police implicating the other in the attack on Stow. The prosecution’s case is based largely on their confessions. Norwood has been charged with three felonies and Sanchez with four. Both men had histories of spousal abuse and other offenses. Norwood had been arrested five times and Sanchez nine times for a range of crimes in San Bernardino (CA), including acts of violence. Sanchez and Norwood have entered Not Guilty pleas, and will be tried separately.

Despite circumstantial evidence to the contrary, the polygraph examination of Ramirez showed him to be innocent of the Stow attack. Subsequent investigation showed the polygraph results to be correct. This case history offers two important lessons. One is that polygraph testing may be conducted in certain circumstance after interrogation if examiners use creativity in approaching the examination. The second lesson is that polygraph results can sometimes run contrary to powerful political winds, and that examiners should be prepared to stand by the results of their properly conducted examination.

About the authors

Jack Trimarco is a former FBI polygraph examiner. He now owns and operates his private polygraph practice, Jack Trimarco & Associates Polygraph / Investigations, Inc.

Donald Krapohl is Editor-in-Chief of the APA.

The views expressed in this column represent those of the authors, and not necessarily those of the American Polygraph Association. Comments regarding this column can be sent to editor@polygraph.org.
Re-Examinations – The Manual

by Tuvya T. Amsel

*It is the use of the inconclusive range that gives the field examiner the opportunity to be fair and safe, and say “I don’t know.”* – Norman Ansley

The phenomenon of inconclusive (indefinite) polygraph charts due to inconsistent responses, excessive distortion, and contaminated physiological data is pretty common and is estimated to be approximately 10% out of all polygraph examinations. According to the 2012 APA Standards of Practice, members must use examination techniques with an inconclusive rate of 20% or lower. The most common practice to resolve the issue is by re-testing the examinee which produces about 90% conclusive results.

In order to conduct an effective re-examination and avoid repeating inconclusive results it is highly recommended to identify what caused the inconclusive result and to eliminate its effect from the re-examination. Some probable causes to inconclusive charts may be:

---

3. The inconclusive rate is inconsistent and it varies in research between 2% to 14%. For an extensive research review go to: Matte, J.E., (1996), *Forensic psychophysiology using the polygraph*, J.A.M Publications, NY, pp. 62 - 120.

The author is a private examiner in Israel, and a regular contributor to the publications of the American Polygraph Association. The views expressed in this column are solely those of the author, and do not necessarily represent those of the American Polygraph Association. Publishable comments and replies regarding this column can be sent to editor@polygraph.org. The APA may publish responsible comments received by the publication deadline in the following issue of the *APA Magazine*. 
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Cognitive
- Ineffective comparison questions – either poor phrasing or improper introduction
- Ineffective relevant question – double meaning, too long, unclear phrasing
- Misunderstanding of the RQ or CQ – language obstacle or examinee’s limited cognitive capability
- Contaminating factor - the examiner is concealing information regarding the issue
- Induction – transferring reactions from one relevant question to the others
- Outside issue – distraction due to an unrelated matter on the examinee’s mind

Emotional
- Lack of concern over the results – regardless of the results, there will be no consequences
- Total disbelief in the polygraph – the test does not pose any threat of detection
- Over anxiety – due to fear of error or detection
- Accessive interrogation prior to the test – overly stimulates the examinee
- Lack of proper habituation – the test was given without any prior proper time notification
- Distrust of examiner objectivity – perceive the examiner as an adversary
- Disbelief in the examiner’s professionalism – that may cause her/him to fail

Physical
- Fatigue – lack of proper rest prior to the test
- Illness – effecting body functioning and mental attentiveness
- Drug influence – sleepy, unfocused, drowsiness
- Physical discomfortness – due to room temperature, air flow, noise, uncomfortable chair, bad smells

Re-Examination – Timing and Invitation
Once the examiner has decided to conduct a re-exam, it is best to notify the examinee as soon as possible. It should be given at least one day, preferably two days, apart from the first test and preferably not later than a week, otherwise the momentum may be lost. In the habituation period between the notification and the re-examination the examinee will probably think the case over and by doing so it may have a positive effect on producing more decisive physiological reactions.

When inviting the examinee to the re-examination, any hint of inconclusivity should be avoided and only the need for a definite and conclusive result should be emphasized. A statement such as: “… I do have results but due to the importance of the case I would like to re-examine you in order to accent the outcome” is recommended.

Re-Examination – Preparations
Prior to the re-examination the examiner should inquire if there is any new case update that may affect the relevant and/or comparison questions.

It is strongly advised that the re-examination be conducted by a different examiner in order to eliminate the possibility that the first examiner’s approach toward the examinee influenced the outcome.
Re-Examination – Pre-Test
The session opens with the examiner’s reasoning the re-examination: “… as I have told you, I do have results but due to the importance of the case I would like to re-examine you in order to accent the outcome, but first let me ask you a few question regarding your physical condition…”. At this point the examiner should go over the routine questions inquiring into the examinee’s medical condition. Once it was established that the examinee is fit to be tested, the examiner should ask the examinee for a detailed account of her/his statement regarding the issue under examination.

The next step is to clear out the issues that contaminated the first test. It should be opened by asking the examinee if there was anything that bothered him in the first test. At this point discuss with the examinee her/his concerns and try to clear any outside issues that influenced the first test. If the examiner can identify the reasons for the inconsistent responses it should be discussed with the examinee. Any possible contaminating factor such as outside issues, physiological or physical contaminating factors, or the importance of the results (in case of an indifferent examinee) should be surfaced and intensely discussed in order to clear it out of the way.

Test Questions
The questions should be rephrased in accordance with the information that was discussed in the pretest. Special attention should be given to the comparison questions. Depending on the responses in the first examination, they should be strengthened or weakened. If there is a possibility that the examinee did not fully understand the questions during the first exam, the examiner should ask the examinee to explain the meaning of each test question.

Clarification
In my last article, “The Silent Answer Test (SAT)” (APA Magazine, 2012, 45[4], 48 – 51) I had cited Raskin, Barland, & Podlesny (1977) research for the following statement: “The Utah technique utilizes the SAT as the fourth crime chart if the first three charts were not conclusive”. Please be advised that except for this one research project, the Utah technique never utilized the SAT as a standard part of its administration. I would like to thank Ben Blalock, and Mark Handler for bringing it to my attention.
Differential Salience

by

Michael Lynch

Has Polygraph Oversold PCSOT?

Michael B. Lynch

It was concluded that the extent of psychological detection of deception reaction is a function of the extent of fear of consequences...in proportion to the extent of motivation...that exists within the subject while being tested. Fear of detection...is an additional factor existing...that amplifies...psycho-physiological reactions.¹

Post-Conviction Sex Offender Testing (PCSOT) has four major components: Instant Offense, Sexual History, Maintenance and Monitoring. Of these four, two components are single issue formats and two components are multiple or mixed issue formats.

Instant Offense and Monitoring components are single issue formats performed for diagnostic accuracy. Did the examinee commit the Instant Offence (The allegations under indictment, pending court action, criminal conviction or civil judgment which an examinee declares to be untrue) or has he committed an act that violates a term or condition of probation or parole. The examinee fears the consequences of his answers. Prison is a consequence.


About the author: Michael Lynch, the author of this article, is a Primary Instructor with Marston Polygraph Academy. He can be reached at mlynch@lawyerspolygraph.com. The opinions and comments expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect those of Marston Polygraph Academy or the American Polygraph Association.
Sexual History and Maintenance components are mixed or multiple issue formats performed for utility purposes only and not for diagnostic accuracy. These components are conducted at the request of a treatment provider. The treatment provider (psychologist) has the training, education and experience to diagnose and treat sexual aberrational behavior. Most, if not all psychologists, have virtually no training or education in the art and science of polygraph and therefore little knowledge or understanding of its capabilities and limitations. And therein lies the problem – high expectations for high accuracy.

In Sexual History and Maintenance test formats, the examinee has little fear of the consequences of his answers. If he lies to the examiner, he is rewarded by the treatment provider for ‘making progress in his treatment’ with no adverse consequences. If he lies to the probation or parole officer, the most likely result is continued probation or parole with no adverse consequences. The prisons are full. Therefore, Sexual History and Maintenance test formats tend to have less reliability than Instant Offense and Monitoring test formats. This is especially true when the examinee is practicing countermeasures. Therefore, “No Opinion” and “Inconclusive” opinions tend to be more frequent in Sexual History and Maintenance testing than in Instant Offense and Monitoring testing.

Psychologists live in a world of statistical expectations. The DSM-4 often mentions statistical expectations for population numbers, so many of this and so many of that. Psychological publications cite distribution curves for diverse groups. Sexual offenders are a diverse group and psychologists sometimes expect their behavior to conform to these statistical ‘norms’ for treatment purposes. When offenders take a Sexual History or Maintenance examination, many psychologists expect the examiner to form a valid and reliable opinion in at least 95 out of 100 examinations because psychology statistics have set the bar at that level. When expectations are not met, anger and frustration are sometimes directed at the examiner. “What do you mean the test is inconclusive! Polygraph does not work. I am finding another examiner.”

Psychologists are not ignorant. Many simply lack the information necessary to understand the limitations of Sexual History and Maintenance testing as utility tools.

Has polygraph oversold PCSOT or has PCSOT oversold polygraph?
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Upgrading Membership Classifications from Associate to Full Member

If you have a college degree and you have completed a minimum of 200 polygraph examinations, request that your membership classification be upgraded from ASSOCIATE to FULL MEMBER.

In order for the Board of Directors to act upon your request, it will be necessary for you to:

Provide a notarized statement from your supervisor or knowledgeable colleague, who must be a full member of the American Polygraph Association, attesting that you have completed a minimum of 200 polygraph examinations.

Please forward the certification directly to:

APA National Office
P.O. Box 8037
Chattanooga, TN 37414

If you have any problems or questions regarding your membership, please call the National Office Manager at 800/272-8037 or 423/892-3992.

Advertising in the APA Magazine

For pricing and payment information, contact Robbie Bennett at the APA National Office, P.O. Box 8037, Chattanooga, TN 37414, (800) APA-8037, or email - manager@polygraph.org.

Then, all you need to do is send your electronic ad in .jpeg or .pdf file format, to the editor at editor@polygraph.org.

Don’t worry, short line items in the Buy and Sell and Upcoming Seminar sections are still free. As always, we publish (at no charge) in each Magazine a listing of upcoming polygraph training sessions for APA accredited schools.

Submissions and/or technical questions regarding your ad should be sent to editor@polygraph.org. Please note that submission deadlines are posted on page 3 of each issue.
# AMERICAN POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION

## APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF ADVANCED & SPECIALIZED TRAINING

(Application for the Certificate of Advanced and Specialized Training will be granted only to those that have completed thirty-six (36) hours of approved advanced and specialized training during the past three (3) years.

NAME: _________________________________________________________________________________________

ADDRESS: _________________________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

TELEPHONE #: (        ) _____________________________________________________________________

Membership Status: (   ) Full Member    (   ) Life Member     (   ) Associate Member

Current Dues Paid In Full:   (    ) Yes     (    ) No

Approved Advanced & Specialized Training: Attach Certificate(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Name</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I,_______________________________________, do hereby make application for the Certificate of Advanced & Specialized Training by the American Polygraph Association. All information contained above is true and correct to the best of my ability. I release the American Polygraph Association to conduct an inquiry or investigation as appropriate to verify said information.

____________________________________  
Applicant

Make check payable to AMERICAN POLYGRAPH ASSOCIATION  
Original Application $50.00  
Renewal $15.00  
Mail to: APA National Office, PO Box 8037, Chattanooga TN 37414-0037
APA Accredited Polygraph Schools

Academy for Scientific Investigative Training
1704 Locust Street, 2nd Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
Director: Nathan J. Gordon
Ph: 215.732.3349
Fax: 215.545.1773
E-mail: truthdoctor@polygraph-training.com
Webpage: www.polygraph-training.com

Academy of Polygraph Science
8695 College Parkway, Ste 2160
Fort Myers, FL 33919
Director: Benjamin Blalock
Ph: 239.424.9095
E-Mail: Ben@PolygraphToday.com
Webpage: www.apsPolygraphSchool.com

Academy of Polygraph Science Latinamerica
12945 Seminole Blvd. Ste 15
Largo, FL 33778
Director: Arno Horvath – 727.531.3782
E-Mail: polygraphacademy@hotmail.com
Website: abhpolygraphscience.com

American Institute of Polygraph (Singapore)
908 Barton Street
Otsego, Michigan 49078-1583
Director: Lynn P. Marcy
Ph: 269.692.2413
Fax: 269.694.4666
Webpage: www.polygraphis.com

American International Institute of Polygraph
P.O. Box 2008
Stockbridge, GA 30281
Director: Charles E. Slupski
Ph: 770.960.1377
Fax: 770.960.1355
E-mail: aiip@qpolygraph.com
Webpage: www.polygraphschool.com

Backster School of Lie Detection
861 Sixth Avenue, Suite 403
San Diego, California 92101-6379
Director: Cleve Backster
Ph: 619.233.6669
Fax: 619.233.3441
E-mail: clevebackster@cs.com
Webpage: www.backster.net

Canadian Police College Polygraph Training School
P.O. Box 8900
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1G 3J2
Director: Scott McLeod
Ph: 613.998.0886
E-mail: scott.mcleod@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

Centro de Investigacion Forense Y Control de Confianza S.C.
Rodriguez Saro #523, Int. 501-A Col. Del Valle
Del. Benito Juarez
Mexico, D.F. C.P. 03100
Director: Jaime Raul Duran Valle
Ph: 011.52.55.2455.4624
Webpage: www.el-poligrafo.com

Centro Mexicano de Analisis Poligrafico y Psicologico, S.C.
Primer 23, Plantar Alta
Col. Merced Gomez
Mexico D.F.
Director: Maria Fernanda Gadea Lucio
Ph: 011.52.55.5418.5464

Gazit International Polygraph School
29 Hamered, Industry Building
P.O.Box 50474
Tel Aviv 61500  Israel
Director: Mordechai (Mordi) Gazit – 972.3.575.2488
E-mail: mordi@gazit-poly.co.il
Webpage: www.polygraph-school.com

Horowitz-Ginton Credibility Assessment Academy
11 Ben-Gurion, Vita Towers
Bnei-Brak 51260  Israel
Director: Dr. Avital Ginton
Ph: 972.3.616.1111
E-mail: ginton@zahav.net.il

International Academy of Polygraph
1835 South Perimeter Road, Suite 125
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309-3066
Director: Scott A. Walters
Ph: 954.771.6900
Fax: 954.776.7687
E-mail: dci@deception.com

International Polygraph Studies Center
Colima No. 385-2
Colonia Roma Norte
06700 Mexico D. F.
Director: Raymond Nelson – 303.587.0599
E-mail: international@poligrafia.com.mx

Israeli Government Polygraph School
P.O. Box 17193
Tel-Aviv 61171  Israel
Director: Eyal Peled
E-mail: igpolyschool@012.net.il

Kentucky Institute for Polygraph Studies
EKU Funderburk Building
521 Lancaster Avenue
Richmond, KY 40475
Director: Pam Shaw
Ph: 859.622.6218
E-mail: pam.shaw@ky.gov
Latin American Polygraph Institute  
Carrera 46 #93-70  
Barrio La Castellana  
Bogotà, Colombia  
Director: Sidney Wise Arias  
Ph: 571.236.9630  
571.482.9421  
E-mail: swarias@bellsouth.net

Marston Polygraph Academy  
390 Orange Show Lane  
San Bernardino CA 92408  
Director: Thomas M. Kelly  
Ph: 877.627.2223  
e-mail: mail@marstonpolygraphacademy.com  
Webpage: www.marstonpolygraphacademy.com

Maryland Institute of Criminal Justice  
8424 Veterans Highway, Suite 3  
Millersville, Maryland 21108-0458  
Director: Billy H. Thompson  
Ph: 410.987.6665 or 800.493.8181  
Fax: 410.987.4808  
E-mail: MDMICJ@aol.com  
Webpage: www.micj.com

Mexico Polygraph Studies Unit  
Calle Cuauhtemoc # 168  
Colonia Tizapan de San Angel  
Mexico D.F. 01059  
Director: Luz Del Carmen Diaz  
Ph: 011.52.55.5616.6273  
E-mail: ldgalindo@entermas.net

MINDEF Centre for Credibility Assessment  
Block 13, Mandai Camp 2  
Mandai Road  
Singapore  
Director: V. Cholan – (65) 67684147  
E-mail: cholan@starnet.gov.sg

National Academy of Training and Investigations in Polygraph Analysis  
Reforma #364, Colonia Juarez  
Delagacion Cuauhtemoc  
Mexico, D.F. CP 0660  
Director: Jesus Sandoval Escalante  
Ph: 011.52.5.552.410313

National Center for Credibility Assessment  
7540 Pickens Avenue  
Fort Jackson, SC 29207  
Director: William F. Norris  
Ph: 803.751.9100  
Fax: 803.751.9125 or 37  
Registrar e-mail: susan.gatlin@ncca.mil  
Webpage: www.ncca.mil  
Federal, State, and Local Law Enforcement only

New England Polygraph Institute  
15 Glidden Road  
Moultonborough, NH 03254  
Director: David J. Crawford  
Ph: 603.253.8002  
E-mail: kacdc@worldpath.net

Northeast Counterdrug Training Center  
Polygraph Program  
c/o Dept. of Military & Veteran’s Affairs  
Building 8-64 Fort Indiantown Gap  
Annville, PA 17003-5002  
Director: Elmer Criswell  
Ph: 717.861.9432  
E-mail: lietestec@aol.com  
Municipal and State Agencies only

Polygraph School of Science, Inc.  
d/b/a Arizona School of Polygraph Science  
202 E. McDowell Road, Suite 258  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Director: Laura M. Wells  
Ph: 602.513.8263  
Fax: 602.272.9735  
E-mail: info@azpolygraphschool.com  
Webpage: www.azpolygraphschool.com

Texas Department of Public Safety  
Law Enforcement Polygraph School  
P.O. Box 4087  
Austin, Texas 78773-0001  
Director: David B. Vaughan  
Ph: 512.997.4093  
Fax: 512.424.5717  
E-mail: david.vaughan@dps.texas.gov  
Local, State, and Federal agencies only

The Polygraph Institute  
19179 Blanco Road, Ste. 105, #812  
San Antonio, TX 78258  
Director: J. Patrick O’Burke  
Ph: 817.290.0033  
E-mail: JPOBurke@thepolygraphinstitute.com  
Webpage: www.thepolygraphinstitute.com

Tudor Academy  
Carrera 66, No. 42-103  
Barrio San Juaquin  
Medellin, Colombia  
Director: Thomas M. Kelly  
Ph: 877.627.2223  
e-mail: mail@marstonpolygraphacademy.com  
Webpage: www.marstonpolygraphacademy.com

Veridicus International Polygraph Academy  
Domingo Gonzales #35 Bis, Col. San Antonio Culhuacan  
Del. Iztapalapa  
Mexico DF, C.P. 09800  
Director: Yasmin Rios  
Ph: (011.52) 55.5616.6273  
E-mail: ldgalindo@entermas.net

Virginia School of Polygraph  
7885 Coppermine Drive  
Manassas, Virginia 20109  
Director: Darryl Debow  
Ph: 703.396.7657  
Fax: 703.396.7660  
E-mail: Polygraph1@verizon.net  
Webpage: www.virginiaschoolofpolygraph.com